European **River Restoration** Conference Featuring the IRF Riverprize Celebrating Successes and Addressing Challenges 5th edition | 11-13 September 2013 | Vienna

0

Soverment Service for Land and Water Management Merice (Construction Age and Construction Age



# **CONSERVATION OF BIODIVERSITY IN FLOODPLAINS: IS MULTIFUNCTIONALITY THE SOLUTION?**

for River Restoration

Stefan Schindler (stefan.schindler@univie.ac.at)<sup>1</sup>, Katrin Euller<sup>1</sup>, Michaela Kropik<sup>1</sup>, Zita Sebesvari<sup>2</sup>, Christian Damm<sup>3</sup>, Stuart W Bunting<sup>4</sup>, Anna Hermann<sup>1</sup>, Marianna Biro<sup>5</sup>, Christiane Schulz-Zunkel<sup>6</sup>, Viktor Gasso<sup>7</sup>, Robert Kanka<sup>8</sup>, Volker Mauerhofer<sup>9</sup>, Sophie Lauwaars<sup>10</sup>, Andreas Krug<sup>11</sup>, Fionnuala H O'Neill<sup>12</sup>, Theo van der Sluis<sup>13</sup>, Klaus-Peter Zulka<sup>14</sup>, Klaus Henle<sup>6</sup>, Franz Essl<sup>14</sup>, Martin Pusch<sup>15</sup>, Christa Renetzeder<sup>1</sup>, Boris Baranovsky<sup>7</sup>, Thomas Ehlert<sup>11</sup>, Bernd Neukirchen<sup>11</sup>, James R Martin<sup>12</sup>, Thomas Wrbka<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Department of Conservation Biology, Vegetation & Landscape Ecology, University of Vienna, Austria <sup>2</sup>Institute for Environment and Human Security - United Nations University, Germany <sup>3</sup>Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Germany <sup>4</sup>Essex Sustainability Institute, School of Biological Sciences, University of Essex, UK <sup>5</sup>Institute of Ecology and Botany, Centre for Ecological Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary <sup>6</sup>UFZ - Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Department of Conservation Biology, Germany <sup>7</sup>Oles Honchar Dnipropetrovsk National University, Ukraine <sup>8</sup>Institute of Landscape Ecology SAS, Slovakia <sup>9</sup>United Nations University, Institute of Advanced Studies (UNU-IAS), Japan <sup>10</sup>Rijkswaterstaat Centre for Infrastructure, The Netherlands

<sup>11</sup>Federal Agency for Nature Conservation (BfN), Germany <sup>12</sup>Botanical Environmental & Conservation Consultants Ltd., Ireland <sup>13</sup>Alterra, The Netherlands <sup>14</sup>Environment Agency Austria, Austria <sup>15</sup>Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Germany



### Introduction.

Green Infrastructure (GI) and multifunctional land-use are recently proposed as key concept to reconcile nature conservation with economical interests.

Floodplains are good examples for multifunctional landscapes and GI because their management requires close coordination among sectors and poses multi-dimensional challenges to policy-makers and project managers.





In the following study, we implemented a 'network of knowledge'-approach (Livoreil et al. 2012) in the frame of the EU FP7 Communication Action 'Biodiversity.Knowledge' to specify the effects of multifunctional floodplain management on biodiversity.

### **Applied approaches.** We conducted for European lowland floodplain and rivers:

(i) a country specific expert consultation covering IRE, NL, D, SLK, H and UKR to assess regulation history, multifunctional management projects and biodiversity effects (Schindler et al. 2013b, in prep.)

## Results

- (i) Considered floodplains (fig. 1) and their management in 6 countries (Tab. 1);
- (ii) Matrix showing effects of interventions on ecosystem services (Tab. 2) and related spider web graphs (fig. 2);
- (iii)Systematically detected articles on biodiversity impact of floodplain management and considered taxa (fig. 3,4).



specifying the effects of 38 bundles of floodplain interventions to 21 ecosystem services (Schindler et al. 2013b, submitted)

 
 Table 2. Expected effects of 38 floodplain interventions on the supply of 21 different
ecosystem services. "0": no effect; "↘ ": reducing effect; "↗"; supporting effect; "↘↗": ambiguous effect.

Intervention	Terrestrial plants and animals for food	Freeshwater plants and animals for food	Water for human consumption	Water for agricultural use	Water for industrial and energy uses	Biotic materials	Biomass based energy	Bioremediation	Dilution and sequestration	Air flow regulation	Water flow regulation	Mass flow regulation	Atmospheric regulation	Water quality regulation	Pedogenesis and soil quality regulation	Maintenance of lifecycle, habitat and gene p	Pest and disease control (incl. invasive alien	Aesthetic, Heritage	Spiritual	Recreation and community activities	Information & knowledge
Surface water extraction	Z	К	ЛN	ЛN	NN	Ы	ZЛ	Ы	R	0	ZЛ	Ы	Ы	R	Ы	Ы	ΝZ	Ъ	Ы	Ы	ZЛ
Groundwater extraction	ZЛ	Ы	ИZ	ZЛ	ЪЛ	Ы	NN	Ы	Ы	0	Ы	0	Ы	0	Ы	Ы	0	0	0	0	0
Mineral resource extraction	Ц	ZЛ	Ы	$\square$	0	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	0	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	ИZ	ИZ
Settlement and traffic infrastructure	Ц	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	0	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы
Energy conversion	К	Ы	Ы	7	7	Ы	Ы	R	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	ЪЛ	ZЛ
Navigational infrastructure	К	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	0	R	Ы	0	Ы	Ы	0	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	ЪЛ	Ы
Forestry intensive	К	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	ΝN	7	Ы	Ы	0	Ы	Ы	ZЛ	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы
Agriculture intensive	7	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	ΝN	7	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы
Fishery intensive	К	ZЛ	Ы	0	0	Ы	0	0	0	0	Ы	Ы	0	Ы	0	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы
Forestry extensive	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ы	0	0	Ы	7	ИN
Agriculture extensive	7	0	Ы	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ZЛ	Ы	ΝN	Ы	7	0
Fishery extensive	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	7	7	7	7
Hunting	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ы	ЛN	0	Ы	ЛN	0
Channel corrections	Z	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	ЛN	7	Ы	Ы	0	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	ЛN	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	ЛV	Ы
Dike construction	Л	Ы	Ы	0	7	7	7	Ы	Ы	0	ZЛ	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	7	ΝN
Bank/bed stabilization	Л	Ы	ЛV	ΜN	ЛV	ЛN	7	Ы	Ы	0	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	ZЛ	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	ЛV	Ы
Sediment removal/dredging	0	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	0	0	0	ΝN	0	Ы	Ы	Ы	ЛN	0	Ы	0	0	Ы	ЛV	0
Detention basins	К	Ы	0	0	0	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	0	ИN	Ы	7	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	ΝN	Ы	ЛV	ЛV
Controlled retention areas	К	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	0	Ы	Ы	0	7	0	0	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	Ы	0
Dike relocation	ZN	7	7	7	7	ΝN	Ы	7	7	0	7	7	7	7	7	7	ΝN	7	7	ЛV	7
Ecologically improved groynes	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	Ы	0
Lowering floodplain/foreland	ZN	7	7	7	7	ЛN	72	ΝN	7	0	7	7	7	7	Z Z	ЛN	ЛN	ΝЛ	ЛŊ	ZЛ	77
Sediment addition into river bed	0	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	0	7	7	7	7	7	7	0	7	7	ЧN	7
Removing obstacles	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	7	0	0	7	7	0	7	N	7	0	7	7	Ы	0
Removal of bank fixations	Ŕ	7	7	7	N	ЛN	Ы	_	×	0	N	~	0	7	NN	~	71	7	7	л <u>ч</u>	×
Removal of dams and weirs	0	_	U	0	U	0	0	7	U	0	0	~	U	~	0	~	ч И	ч Ч	~	2 7	0
Lateral nooplain reconnection	0	~	~	7	7	27	Ы.	7	7	0	~	~	7	7	3/	~	7	7	7	Ы	7
Channel, oxbow and pond Creation	R	7	~	~	~	3/	L L	~	~	0	~	~	~	~	~	7	Ч	~	~	7	~
Construction of fish passages		7	0	7	7	<b>/</b>	0	U	U N 7	0	7	7	0	7	7	7	2	7	7	<b>/</b>	7
Creating natural habitat from agree land	×	7	7	7	/\ 7		N N	7	3 /1 7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	/1 7	27	7
Creating natural nabilat from extraction sites	Г	7	7	7	7	37	R R	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	37	7
Control of invasive align species	7	7	~	0	0	<b>/</b>	<b>X</b>	7	<b>7</b>	0	7	7	0	0	7	7	7	7	7	3/1	7
Control of myasive dilett species	A	7	2	0	0	1/1		7	37	0	0	7	0	0	7	7	~	0	7	7	7
Flimination of ton soil	U N	0	N	0	0	N	N	N	N	0	7	7	~	7	V 7	7	<b>E</b> N 7	N	0	0	<u>\</u> 7
Land use extensification	L L	V V 7	اد 17	0	0	r V 7	к /	7	7	0	0	N	7	7	7	7	7	к Г	0	7	7
Recreational infrastructure	<b>د</b>	-1/1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N		N	7	7
Recreational use of the floodalain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0		0	7	0
Recreational use of the floodplain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ы	0	0	0	7	0

(ii) An expert consultation that elaborated a matrix (iii) a systematic review protocol (Schindler et al. 2013a) and systematic map (Schindler et al. 2013b) dealing with the impact of floodplain management measures on biodiversity

### Systematic Review Protocol

**Primary question:** What is the impact of floodplain management measures on biodiversity and how does the impact vary according to the level of multifunctionality of the measures?

• **Population:** floodplains and rivers.

• Intervention: floodplain management measures, commonly related to production and transport, water regulation and flood protection, conservation and restoration as well as recreation activities.

• **Outcome:** change in biodiversity indicators

Figure 1. 6 countries and corresponding floodplains covered in the country specific expert assessment.

Table 1. Floodplains, floodplain management approaches, and evidence for biodiversity impact in the 6 investigated European countries.

#### **Biophysical conditions**

- Many small river systems and some large rivers with extensive floodplains
- "The Dutch live in a river delta
- All kinds of rivers and floodplains from alpine to lowland, dominated by large river systems with formerly extensive floodplains
- SK Dense network of streams including mountain brooks, upland small rivers and mighty rivers in lowlands
- ΗU Meandering rivers in a flat landscape
- UA Most rivers are regulated and transformed into reservoir systems

#### Main land uses in floodplain

- Hydropower, agriculture, housing, tourism and leisure IE
- Mostly farmland, secondary functions are nature conservation, recreation NL
- Agriculture, forestry, settlements and industry
- Hydropower, settlements, agriculture nature protection, recreation SK
- HU Agriculture, forestry, nature conservation
- UA Hydropower, agriculture, settlements and industry, recreation

#### Governance level responsible for floodplain management

- Combination of central (e.g. hydropower) and local/regional
- Centralized, decisions are taken at national and regional levels. Regional responsibilities but often depending on national framework DE
- Case dependent, mostly local, except for the big rivers SK
- HU Centralized, but involvement of regional and local stakeholders
- UA Central and regional, but not lower than the province level

#### Main strategic approaches / management aims

- Emphasis is currently on flood alleviation and drainage
- Flood protection is top priority NL
- Navigation along big rivers most important, flood protection also priority DE
- Decrease of water pollution, nature conservation, flood protection
- HU Flood protection is the top priority, forestry is the second
- UA Developed legislation of river conservation, but weak legal enforcement

#### Multifunctional management approaches

- Weir construction that allows both water flow control and passage of aquatic species; provision of habitat for IE species of conservation concern
- Management is multifunctional, with particular interest for flood protection
- Efforts to restrict and extensify agriculture, for restoring hydrological connectivity, for restoration and DE biodiversity conservation
- SK Creation of multimodal transport corridors respecting nature values
- ΗU Multifunctional projects for reintroduction of grazing, mitigation of invasive species and hydrological rehabilitation

#### Drainage or irrigation are still primary aims UA

#### Evidence for biodiversity impact

- Particular projects had negative effects on Atlantic salmon, freshwater pearl mussel and corncrake due to habitat flooding and changes in land use
- Some projects show positive impacts regarding biodiversity, in particular due to increased natural dynamics and increased habitat diversity.







### Figure 2. Impact of bundles of intervention on the supply of different ESS

### Systematic Map



Figure 3. Articles included and excluded at different stages of the review and mapping process.



- Tendency towards positive impacts upon species as well as habitat
- Rich evidence of diverse effect mainly from Gabčíkovo and the Váh cascade
- Bird and fish diversity increased, plant diversity could be conserved
- Restoration of natural hydrological regimen resulted in biodiversity increase

sections. Shown is the average net change of all interventions per bundle as multifunctionality index ranging between -1 (all ESS are negatively affected) and +1 (all ESS are positively affected).

Figure 4. Number of articles published each year and number of analyses per taxon encountered in the 70 papers.

# **Conclusions and recommendations.**

- Multifunctional floodplain management has become an issue of growing attention in several European countries; (i) however, it is still a complex and underresearched topic especially regarding its impact on biodiversity.
- Restoration and rehabilitation measures strongly improve the multifunctionality of the landscape and cause win-(ii) win situations for enhancing overall ecosystem supply from all three sections, i.e. provisioning, regulation/maintenance, and cultural services. Conventional regulation but also interventions related to extraction, infrastructure and intensive land use cause lose-lose situations.
- Evidence for biodiversity effects of floodplain management interventions is still scarce and scattered, focusing (111) on few interventions, countries and taxa. Analytical research often fails to assess the large (spatial and temporal) scale effects on biodiversity.





The KNEU Coordination Action is supported by European Commission under the 7th Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development Grant No. 265299

### **References:**

Livoreil B, Geijssendorffer I, Jongman R, Pullin A, Vandewalle M, Neßhöver C, Balian E (2012) Prototype NoK mechanism. Deliverable 2.1 of the EU-FP7 project KNEU. Schindler S, Kropik M, Euller K, Bunting SW, Schulz-Zunkel C, Hermann A, Hainz-Renetzeder C, Kanka R, Mauerhofer V, Gasso V, Krug A, Lauwaars SG, Zulka K-P, Henle K, Hoffmann M, Biró M, Essl F, Jaquier S, Balázs L, Borics G, Hudin S, Damm C, Pusch M, van der Sluis T, Sebesvari Z, Wrbka T (2013a) Floodplain management in temperate regions: is multifunctionality enhancing biodiversity? Environmental Evidence 2:10. Schindler S, Livoreil B, Sousa Pinto I, Araújo R, Zulka K-P, Santamaría L, Euller K, Kropik M, Wrbka T (2013b) Final knowledge assessment reports of the 3 case studies and lessons learned. Deliverable 3.1 of the EU-FP7-project KNEU (in press). Schindler et al. (submitted) Multifunctionality of floodplain landscapes: relating management options to ecosystem services. Landscape Ecology. Schindler et al. (in prep.) Multifunctional floodplain management in temperate Europe and evidence for biodiversity effects: an expert consultation.